IF you are

a licensor undertaking the Burden of Proof via more claim charted SEPs for F/RAND negotiations,
a pool licensor or an inventor needing to provide standard-claim mapping for earning bonus,
a to-be licensor trying to read owned patents against product descriptions to substantiate Evidence of Use,
a licensee, buyer, defendant or IP counsel having to timely investigate patent validity,
an applicant trying to adapt claims while selecting favorable prior arts for IDS to satisfy Duty of Candor,
a litigation attorney wanting to run a quick shot apriori pitching and win a case, or
a portfolio manager caring to back complex decisions with evidence (what/where/when to file/drop/buy/sell),

plus, if you desire to reach NEW HEIGHTS

PCC renders DIRECT INSIGHT into IP Assets' selection process and allows to learn strengths and weaknesses of both your assets and competitors'.

PCC offers SUPPORTING EVIDENCE to assess risk, therefore empowering you to formulate better, smarter STRATEGIES to your ADVANTAGE before everyone else does.

Service Coverage

À la carte charting or from searching to charting in one shot Standards: 3GPP TS, HEVC/AVC codec, IEEE, JEDEC Validity Due Diligence before acquisition or litigation Multilingual

Market Leader

Non-Disclosure Agreement: you are protected NO Risk: free ($0) sample for each patent for your evaluation Speedy: 48-hour turnaround upon order Affordable: $2,500-$5,000 USD/charting based on complexity

www.apexstandards.com
www.apexstandards.com/pcc/
support@apexstandards.com
What if, we can provide you, upon receiving your portfolio of patents and a chosen jurisdiction, quickly with a list of claim charts, read patents against other comparable patents, which either were filed during a similar time window (competing technology) or filed after your patents (follower and/or potential infringer), so your company has a clearer picture before sending the whole team on a wild-goose chase?

When implemented AND legally valid, patents are high value assets. Patent transaction or litigation can cost from millions to billions of USD. When you face a major M&A with a larger IP portfolio involved or you get approached by a licensor, critical decisions have to be made. To your advantage, such decisions should be based on more solid ground. The earlier and broader you can obtain indications and knowledge regarding validity of the underlying patents, the stronger your position will be.

What if, we can, given one or more patents under scrutiny, generate a validity assessment report with claim charts off of potential prior arts, which serves as an indicator for weaknesses of such acclaimed patents, so that you can properly estimate risks in a matter of days not months?

Patent applicants and assisting attorneys have a Duty of Candor and good faith to disclose information known to be material to patentability. Such information is submitted to the patent offices in the form of an Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) with prior arts, as well as substantive preparation details filled in. Disclosed prior art may point specific directions for patent examiners, therefore contributing towards breadth of allowance over the proposed claims so it is important to balance the pros and cons when providing prior art references.

What if, we could provide, when given your draft claims, quickly return you a list of claim charts that read your drafts against as known prior arts so that you can adapt the wording better in order to maximize your chance to obtain a granted patent with a broadest scope possible?

Law firms monitor litigation cases daily and compete to win over clients. When observing high profile infringement cases, IP attorneys are under time pressure to do homework before pitching to the defendants for the opportunity to represent.

What if, given an allegedly infringed patent under dispute, we can return in a matter of hours, a list of claim charts that support non-infringement and/or challenge the validity of the patent by identifying prior arts, with which, you demonstrate an ability to defend for the best interest of your clients? Would such in-depth overview boost your credibility, make you look more competitive and increase your chance for success immediately and in the long run?

Portfolio managers are constantly making complex, high-value decisions without evidence at hand. They include: what invention to seek patent protection for; which jurisdiction to file such protection; should a WIPO PCT be first filed for testing the water or a multi-jurisdiction family filing be pursued concurrently; does the invention justify more expensive fast-track filing; should licensing or acquisition be considered in order to enter a specific market segment more quickly; for some patents no longer needed to support corporate Freedom to Operate (FTO), is it possible to communicate value of these assets in order to monetize them by selling or out-licensing, or, is it advisable to stop wasting money over the renewal fees by abandoning them?

An inconvenient truth is that most portfolio managers make such decisions without clearlycharted patents. Instead, the decisions are often made based on a posteriori knowledge derived from other experiences and by ignoring the fact that subject matter varies patent-by-patent. This inevitably narrows the scope of search and fords effective evaluation of new possibilities not expected a priori. Furthermore, this leads to lost opportunities to monetize and lost positions to negotiate due to lack of knowledge about the validity and potential value of assets in their portfolio, thereby exposing the company to tremendous legal and financial risks.

What if, given a portfolio of patents, we can quickly chart them off of standard specifications or potential prior arts, enabling to see through both strengths and weaknesses around the portfolio and therefore make informed, insightful decisions quickly and effectively? Would it sound more persuasive, to be able to communicate with transparency, clarity, and make complex decisions based on claim-charted evidence ab initio, instead of gut feeling or citation counts?

We introduce next-generation IPR-SWOT analysis into your decision making, covering every need.
Do you like to dream big, make informed decisions with higher chances of success?
If your answer is yes, contact us and we show you how our tool will enable you to a next level.
IPR consideration does not have to be abstract yet uncertain and time-consuming. Our clients have found PCC useful for grounding deliberations in order to be able to navigate, create more assets and innovate more quickly and effectively.

APEX STANDARDS PSEUDO CLAIM CHARTING

Collecting Evidence of Value, to YOUR Advantage